

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/611,302

Applicant(s)

PRUSIK, THADDEUS

Examiner

Yelena G. Gakh, Ph.D.

Art Unit

1743

All Participants:(1) Yelena G. Gakh, Ph.D.(2) Lionel N. White**Status of Application:** _____(3) Dr. Thaddeus Prusik

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 6 December 2004**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.


Rejection(s) discussed:

clarity and rejection over the prior art

Claims discussed:

1, 7, 12

Prior art documents discussed:

*Patel (US 4,208,186, US 4,228,126, US 4,276,190) or Ivory (US 4,298,348),***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***the Applicant agrees with the proposed Examiner's Amendment, which clarifies the subject matter of the pending claims and overcomes potential rejections over the prior art***Part III.**☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)